

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
2 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)  
charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com  
3 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)  
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com  
4 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)  
melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com  
5 John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)  
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com  
6 Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)  
jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com  
7 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
8 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9  
10 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 WAYMO LLC,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING  
LLC,

17 Defendants.

18 CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**DECLARATION OF FELIPE  
CORREDOR IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL  
PORTIONS OF THEIR REPLY IN  
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF  
FROM AND EMERGENCY MOTION  
FOR STAY OF NON-DISPOSITIVE  
PRETRIAL ORDER OF MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE (DKT. 881)**

1 I, Felipe Corredor, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to  
 3 practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,  
 4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set  
 5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6       2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants’ Administrative Motion to File Under  
 7 Seal Portions of Their Reply in Support of Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay of  
 8 Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 881) (the “Administrative Motion”). The  
 9 Administrative Motion seeks an order sealing highlighted portions of Defendants’ Reply in Support of  
 10 Motion for Relief from and Emergency Motion for Stay of Non-Dispositive Pretrial Order of  
 11 Magistrate Judge (“Uber’s Reply”) and of Exhibit A.

12       3. The green highlighted portions of Uber’s Reply contain or reference trade secret and  
 13 confidential business information, which Waymo seeks to seal.

14       4. Uber’s Reply (green highlighted portions) contain, reference, and/or describe Waymo’s  
 15 asserted trade secrets. The information Waymo seeks to seal includes the confidential design and  
 16 functionality of Waymo’s proprietary autonomous vehicle system, which Waymo maintains as secret.  
 17 I understand that these trade secrets are maintained as secret by Waymo (Dkt. 25-47) and are valuable  
 18 as trade secrets to Waymo’s business (Dkt. 25-31). The public disclosure of this information would  
 19 give Waymo’s competitors access to descriptions of the functionality or features of Waymo’s  
 20 autonomous vehicle system. If such information were made public, I understand that Waymo’s  
 21 competitive standing would be significantly harmed.

22       5. Waymo’s request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Uber’s Reply that  
 23 merit sealing.

24

25

26

27

28

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United  
2 States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San  
3 Francisco, California, on July 28, 2017.

4 By /s/ Felipe Corredor  
5 Felipe Corredor  
6 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

7  
8 **ATTESTATION**

9 In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this  
10 document has been obtained from Felipe Corredor.

11  
12 By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven  
13 Charles K. Verhoeven  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28